arXiv:1212.2714v1 [math.PR] 12 Dec 2012

Hitting time of a half-line by a two-dimensional nonsymmetric random walk

Yasunari Fukai

Abstract

We consider the probability that a two-dimensional random walk starting from the origin never returns to the half-line $(-\infty, 0] \times \{0\}$ before time n. Let $X^{(1)} = (X_1, X_2)$ be the increment of the two-dimensional random walk. For an aperiodic random walk with moment conditions ($E[X_2] = 0$ and $E[|X_1|^{\delta}] < \infty, E[|X_2|^{2+\delta}] < \infty$ for some $\delta \in (0,1)$), we obtain an asymptotic estimate (as $n \to \infty$) of this probability by assuming the behavior of the characteristic function of X_1 near zero.

1 Introduction and Statement of Results

Let us consider the probability that a two-dimensional random walk starting from the origin never returns to the half-line $(-\infty, 0] \times \{0\}$ before time n. In this paper we investigate the asymptotic behavior (as $n \to \infty$) of this probability.

For a simple random walk, this probability, multiplied by $n^{1/4}$, is bounded both from above and from below by positive constants. This bound was shown by Lawler [5,(2.35)], and the estimate plays an important role in computing an upper bound of a hitting distribution from infinity for a simple random walk (see [5,Proposition 2.4.10]). The upper bound gives a growth estimate for the two-dimensional diffusion limited aggregation (DLA in short) model (see [4]) and an estimate of the two-dimensional intersection exponent (see [6]).

Yasunari Fukai: Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Nishi-Ku, Fukuoka 812-0395, JAPAN.
e-mail: fukai@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 60G50, 60E10.

Keywords and phrases. Two-dimensional random walk, Hitting probability, Fluctuation identities, Fourier analysis

Several authors have already studied the same probability that we consider here. In [1], Bousquet-Mélou and Schaeffer treated two-dimensional random walks satisfying the condition that the increment of the random walk takes finite values with equal probability by using a combinatorial argument. In [3], Isozaki treated two-dimensional symmetric random walks. In [2], a class of two-dimensional aperiodic random walks which satisfy good conditions (a mean of zero and the $2+\delta(>2)$-th absolute moment exists) such as the simple random walk is considered. The present approach is probabilistic. We mainly treat two-dimensional nonsymmetric random walks.

Let $\{X^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with values in $\mathbb{Z}^2$. A two-dimensional random walk $\{S(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ starting at $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is defined by

$$S(0) = x \quad \textit{and} \quad S(n) = x + \sum_{k=1}^{n} X^{(k)}.$$

If the random variable $X^{(1)}$ takes the four values $(1,0), (-1,0), (0,1), (0,-1)$ with equal probability, the random walk is called simple. We write $X^{(1)} = (X_1, X_2)$ and $S(n) = (S_1(n), S_2(n))$. We denote by P_x the probability law of the two-dimensional random walk starting at x and by E_x the expectation with respect to P_x. For a subset A of $\mathbb{Z}^2$, define

$$\tau_A = \inf\{n \geq 1 : S(n) \in A\},$$

(note that the time $n = 0$ is not included here). We also denote by U the first coordinate axis:

$$U = \{x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : x_2 = 0\}$$

and by V_- and V_+ the left half and right half of U, respectively:

$$V_- = \{x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : x_1 \leq 0, x_2 = 0\},$$

$$V_+ = \{x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : x_1 \geq 0, x_2 = 0\}.$$

Theorem 1.1 *Assume that a two-dimensional random walk satisfies the following conditions:*

(a) *aperiodic* $($*i.e., the smallest additive subgroup containing* $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid P\{X^{(1)} = x\} > 0\}$ *agrees with* $\mathbb{Z}^2)$,

(b) $E[X_2] = 0$,

(c) $E[|X_1|^{\delta}] < \infty, \quad E[|X_2|^{2+\delta}] < \infty$ *for some* $\delta \in (0,1)$.

If there exist constants $\alpha \in (0,2], \varepsilon > 0, c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0$ *such that*

$$1 - E[\cos\theta_1 X_1] - \frac{(E[(\sin\theta_1 X_1)X_2])^2}{2E[X_2^2]} + \frac{(E[(-1+\cos\theta_1 X_1)X_2])^2}{2E[X_2^2]}$$

$$= c_1|\theta_1|^\alpha + O(|\theta_1|^{\alpha+\varepsilon}) \quad (\theta_1 \to 0)$$

and

$$E[\sin\theta_1 X_1] - \frac{E[(\sin\theta_1 X_1)X_2]E[(-1+\cos\theta_1 X_1)X_2]}{E[X_2^2]}$$

$$= c_2|\theta_1|^\alpha \frac{\theta_1}{|\theta_1|} + O(|\theta_1|^{\alpha+\varepsilon}) \quad (\theta_1 \to 0)$$

then

$$P_0\{\tau_{V_-} > n\} \asymp n^{-1/4+\beta} \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{1}{\alpha\pi}\arcsin\frac{c_2}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2+c_1\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2}}}$$

and we write $a_n \asymp b_n$ *if there exist positive constants* $\tilde{c}_*, \tilde{c}^*$ *such that*

$$\tilde{c}_* b_n \le a_n \le \tilde{c}^* b_n.$$

As an example, we consider the increment $X^{(1)} = (X_1, X_2)$ of a two-dimensional random walk which satisfies the following conditions:

(I) aperiodic,

(II) X_1 and X_2 are independent,

(III) $E[X_2] = 0$ and $E[|X_2|^{2+\delta}] < \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$,

(IV) for $\alpha \in (1,2)$,

$$P\{X_1 = n\} = \begin{cases} c_-\frac{1}{|n|^{1+\alpha}} & (n \in \{-1,-2,\cdots\}) \\ c_+ & (n=1) \\ 0 & (\text{ otherwise }), \end{cases}$$

where the constants c_- and c_+ are assumed to be adjusted so that

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} P\{X_1 = n\} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} nP\{X_1 = n\} = 0$$

hold. By simple calculus,

$$1 - E[\cos\theta_1 X_1] = \frac{c_-\pi}{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)\cos((\alpha-1)\pi/2)}|\theta_1|^\alpha + O(|\theta_1|^2),$$

$$E[\sin\theta_1 X_1] = \frac{c_-\pi}{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)\sin((\alpha-1)\pi/2)}|\theta_1|^\alpha\frac{\theta_1}{|\theta_1|} + O(|\theta_1|^2),$$

where Γ is the gamma function. Thus

$$\beta = \frac{2-\alpha}{4\alpha}$$

and

$$P_0\{\tau_{V_-} > n\} \asymp n^{-(\alpha-1)/(2\alpha)}.$$

Corollary 1.2 *Assume (a), (b) and (c). If there exist constants* $\alpha \in (0,2], \varepsilon > 0, c_1 > 0$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - E[\cos\theta_1 X_1] &- \frac{(E[(\sin\theta_1 X_1)X_2])^2}{2E[X_2^2]} + \frac{(E[(-1+\cos\theta_1 X_1)X_2])^2}{2E[X_2^2]} \\ &= c_1|\theta_1|^\alpha + O(|\theta_1|^{\alpha+\varepsilon}) \quad (\theta_1 \to 0) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E[\sin\theta_1 X_1] &- \frac{E[(\sin\theta_1 X_1)X_2]E[(-1+\cos\theta_1 X_1)X_2]}{E[X_2^2]} \\ &= O(|\theta_1|^{\alpha+\varepsilon}) \quad (\theta_1 \to 0) \end{aligned}$$

then

$$P_0\{\tau_{V_-} > n\} \asymp n^{-1/4}. \tag{1.2}$$

For a two-dimensional simple random walk, (1.2) was shown by Lawler [5,(2.35)] and Kesten [4]. Bousquet-Mélou and Schaeffer [1] considered $P_0\{\tau_{V_-} > n\}$ for a two-dimensional random walk satisfying the condition that the increment of the random walk takes finite values with equal probability. For a two-dimensional simple random walk, they computed

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P_0\{\tau_{V_-} > n\} \Big/ \left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\sqrt{2}}}{2\Gamma(3/4)} n^{-1/4} \right) = 1$$

by using a combinatorial argument. We consider an aperiodic random walk with a mean of zero and a finite $2+\delta(>2)$-th absolute moment. In [2], it is proved that for this random walk, $n^{1/4}P_0\{\tau_{V_-} > n\}$ converges to some positive constant as $n \to \infty$. This random walk satisfies the assumptions of Corollary 1.2 and we have $P_0\{\tau_{V_-} > n\} \asymp n^{-1/4}$. This result is weaker than that in [2], but Corollary 1.2 is applicable to a large class of random walks.

Corollary 1.3 *Assume (a), (b) and (c). If there exist constants* $\alpha \in [1,2], \varepsilon > 0, c_2 > 0$ *such that*

$$1 - E[\cos\theta_1 X_1] - \frac{(E[(\sin\theta_1 X_1)X_2])^2}{2E[X_2^2]} + \frac{(E[(-1+\cos\theta_1 X_1)X_2])^2}{2E[X_2^2]}$$

$$= O(|\theta_1|^{\alpha+\varepsilon}) \quad (\theta_1 \to 0)$$

and

$$E[\sin \theta_1 X_1] - \frac{E[(\sin \theta_1 X_1)X_2]E[(-1+\cos\theta_1 X_1)X_2]}{E[X_2^2]}$$

$$= c_2 |\theta_1|^\alpha \frac{\theta_1}{|\theta_1|} + O(|\theta_1|^{\alpha+\varepsilon}) \quad (\theta_1 \to 0)$$

then

$$P_0\{\tau_{V_-} > n\} \asymp n^{-1/4+1/(4\alpha)}.$$

We consider the increment $X^{(1)} = (X_1, X_2)$ of a two-dimensional random walk which satisfies the following conditions: (I) aperiodic, (II) X_1 and X_2 are independent, (III) $E[X_2] = 0$, and $E[|X_2|^{2+\delta}] < \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$, (IV) ' $P\{X_1 = 1\} = 1$. It is clear that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P_0\{\tau_{V_-} > n\} = 1.$$

We apply Corollary 1.3 to this random walk. By simple calculus,

$$1 - E[\cos \theta_1 X_1] = O(|\theta_1|^2), \quad E[\sin\theta_1 X_1] = \theta_1 + O(|\theta_1|^2)$$

and thus

$$P_0\{\tau_{V_-} > n\} \asymp 1,$$

which is in agreement with $P_0\{\tau_{V_-} > n\} = 1$.

We consider $P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_-}\}$, where T_λ is a random variable independent of the random walk with the law $P\{T_\lambda = j\} = (1-\lambda)\lambda^j$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$. By the Tauberian theorem, the asymptotic behavior of $P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_-}\}$ as $\lambda \uparrow 1$ determines the asymptotic behavior of $P_0\{\tau_{V_-} > n\}$ as $n \to \infty$.

If we can calculate the asymptotic behavior of $P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_-}\} \times P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_+}\}$ and $P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_-}\}/P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_+}\}$ as $\lambda \uparrow 1$, then we have the asymptotic behavior of $P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_-}\}$ as $\lambda \uparrow 1$. This method is based on [2]. However, to have Theorem 1.1, we need to obtain an estimate of $P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_-}\}/P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_+}\}$ which is different from that in [2].

In Section 2, we state a certain relation between $P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_-}\} \times P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_+}\}$ and $P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_U\}$ and give the asymptotic behavior of $P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_U\}$ as $\lambda \uparrow 1$. We show that $P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_-}\}/P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_+}\}$ may be expressed using the characteristic function of the increment of the random walk.

In Section 3, we state some results needed to prove Theorem 1.1.

In Section 4, we give a proof of Theorem 1.1.

In the appendix, we give the proof of lemmas not proved in Section 3.

2 Fundamental relations

Lemma 2.1 *For an arbitrary two-dimensional random walk,*

$$P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_U\} = P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{\tilde{V}_+}\}P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_-}\}$$

$$= (1 - P_0\{S(\tau_{V_+}) = 0, \tau_{V_+} \le T_\lambda\})^{-1} P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_+}\}P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_-}\},$$

where $\tilde{V}_+ = V_+ \setminus \{0\}$.

The proof of Lemma 2.1 is identical to that given for Proposition 2.4.6 in [5] and is thus omitted (see [2, Lemma 2.1]).

Lemma 2.2 *A two-dimensional random walk satisfying (a), (b) and* $E[X_2^2] < \infty$ *has the property that*

$$P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_U\} \sim (2E[X_2^2])^{1/2}(1-\lambda)^{1/2},$$

where we write $\alpha_\lambda \sim \beta_\lambda$ *if* $\lim_{\lambda \uparrow 1} \alpha_\lambda / \beta_\lambda = 1$.

The proof of Lemma 2.2 is identical to that given in [5, p.68] and is thus omitted (see [2, Proposition 3.1]).

To formulate the next lemma, we introduce some notation. For a two-dimensional random walk satisfying (b) and $E[X_2^2] < \infty$, we introduce the following random variables:

$$\eta(1) = \inf\{j \ge 1 \mid S(j) \in U\}, \quad \zeta(1) = S_1(\eta(1)),$$

$$\eta(k+1) = \inf\{j > \eta(k) \mid S(j) \in U\}$$

$$\zeta(k+1) = S_1(\eta(k+1)), \quad (k = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

For $\lambda \in (0,1)$, we define

$$c(\lambda) = \exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} E_0[\lambda^{\eta(k)}; \zeta(k) = 0]\right),$$

$$f_\infty(z;\lambda) = \exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} E_0[\lambda^{\eta(k)} z^{\zeta(k)}; \zeta(k) < 0]\right),$$

$$f_0(z;\lambda) = \exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} E_0[\lambda^{\eta(k)} z^{\zeta(k)}; \zeta(k) > 0]\right).$$

Lemma 2.3 *For every two-dimensional random walk satisfying (b) and* $E[X_2^2] < \infty$*,*

$$P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_-}\} = c(\lambda) f_\infty(1;\lambda),$$
$$P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_+}\} = c(\lambda) f_0(1;\lambda).$$

Moreover, for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$*,*

$$E_0[\lambda^{\eta(k)}; \zeta(k) = l] = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\theta_1 l}\left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\frac{1}{1-\lambda\phi(\theta)}\,d\theta_2\right)^{-1}\right)^k d\theta_1. \tag{2.1}$$

The proof of Lemma 2.3 is identical to that given in §17 Proposition 5 of [7] and is thus omitted (see [2, Proposition 2.4]).

3 Preliminary lemmas

In this section, we will consider $a_\lambda(\theta_1)$ and $b_\lambda(\theta_1)$ defined by

$$a_\lambda(\theta_1) = \Re\left[\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\frac{1}{1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\,d\theta_2\right]$$

and

$$b_\lambda(\theta_1) = \Im\left[\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\frac{1}{1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\,d\theta_2\right].$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2) = {} & 1 - \lambda E[\cos\theta_1 X_1] + \lambda E[(\sin\theta_1 X_1)X_2]\theta_2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}E[X_2^2]\theta_2^2 \\ & -i\{\lambda E[\sin\theta_1 X_1] + \lambda E[(-1+\cos\theta_1 X_1)X_2]\theta_2\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Assume (a), (b) and (c) hold. Then there exists a constant* $c_3 > 0$ *such that, for* $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$ *and* $\theta = (\theta_1,\theta_2) \in [-\pi,\pi]\times[-\pi,\pi]$*,*

$$\left|\frac{\varphi_\lambda(\theta)}{1-\lambda\phi(\theta)} - 1\right| \le c_3(|\theta_1|^{\hat{\delta}} + |\theta_2|^{\delta}), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\hat{\delta} = \delta^2/(2+\delta)$*.*

In addition, there exist $\tilde{c}_3 > 0$ *and* $r_0 > 0$ *such that, for* $\lambda \in (1/2,1)$ *and* $\theta = (\theta_1,\theta_2) \in [-\pi,\pi]\times[-\pi,\pi]$ *with* $|\theta| < r_0$*,*

$$\left|\frac{\Re[1-\lambda\phi(\theta)]}{\Re[\varphi_\lambda(\theta)]} - 1\right| \le \tilde{c}_3(|\theta_1|^{\hat{\delta}} + |\theta_2|^{\delta}), \tag{3.2}$$

where $\hat{\delta}$ *is the same constant as in (3.1).*

Proof. By the definition of the characteristic function,

$$1 - \lambda\phi(\theta) = 1 - \lambda E[e^{i\theta_1 X_1} e^{i\theta_2 X_2}].$$

The inequality

$$\left| e^{ix} - \left(1 + ix - \frac{1}{2}x^2\right)\right| \le 2|x|^{2+\delta} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

and the condition $E[|X_2|^{2+\delta}] < \infty$ give

$$\left| E[e^{i\theta_1 X_1} e^{i\theta_2 X_2}] - E\left[e^{i\theta_1 X_1}\left(1 + i\theta_2 X_2 - \frac{1}{2}\theta_2^2 X_2^2\right)\right]\right| \le 2E[|X_2|^{2+\delta}]|\theta_2|^{2+\delta}.$$

Using the Hölder inequality and condition (c), $E[|X_1|^{\hat{\delta}} X_2^2] < \infty$. The inequality $|e^{ix} - 1| \le 3|x|^{\hat{\delta}}$ $(x \in \mathbb{R})$ gives

$$|E[e^{i\theta_1 X_1} X_2^2] - E[X_2^2]| \le 3E[|X_1|^{\hat{\delta}} X_2^2]|\theta_1|^{\hat{\delta}}.$$

We notice that

$$E[(-1 + \cos\theta_1 X_1)X_2] = E[(\cos\theta_1 X_1)X_2]$$

from condition (b). Hence

$$|1 - \lambda\phi(\theta) - \varphi_\lambda(\theta)| \le c(|\theta_1|^{\hat{\delta}} + |\theta_2|^{\delta})\theta_2^2 \tag{3.3}$$

for some suitable constant $c > 0$. Since the random walk is aperiodic, there exists a constant $c_* > 0$ such that, for $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$ and $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi] \times [-\pi, \pi]$,

$$|1 - \lambda\phi(\theta)| \ge \Re[1 - \lambda\phi(\theta)] \ge \lambda(1 - \Re[\phi(\theta)]) \ge \frac{1}{2}c_*|\theta|^2 \tag{3.4}$$

as shown in [7, §7 Proposition 5]. (3.1) follows from (3.3) and (3.4).

(3.3) implies that

$$|\Re[1 - \lambda\phi(\theta)] - \Re[\varphi_\lambda(\theta)]| \le c(|\theta_1|^{\hat{\delta}} + |\theta_2|^{\delta})\theta_2^2. \tag{3.5}$$

By combining (3.5) with the last inequality in (3.4), there exists $r_0 > 0$ (small enough) such that, for $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$ and $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in [-\pi, \pi] \times [-\pi, \pi]$ with $|\theta| < r_0$,

$$\Re[\varphi_\lambda(\theta)] \ge \frac{1}{4}c_*\theta_2^2. \tag{3.6}$$

(3.2) follows from (3.5) and (3.6).

To estimate $a_\lambda(\theta)$, we calculate

$$\Re\left[\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2}\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\,d\theta_2\right]=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2}\Re\left[\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\right]\,d\theta_2,$$

where r_0 is the same constant as in Lemma 3.1. Let

$$A=\frac{E[(\sin\theta_1X_1)X_2]}{(1/2)E[X_2^2]},\quad B=\frac{1-\lambda E[\cos\theta_1X_1]}{(\lambda/2)E[X_2^2]},$$

$$C=\frac{E[(-1+\cos\theta_1X_1)X_2]}{(1/2)E[X_2^2]},\quad D=\frac{E[\sin\theta_1X_1]}{(1/2)E[X_2^2]}.$$

Then

$$\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)=\frac{\lambda}{2}E[X_2^2]\{\theta_2^2+A\theta_2+B-i(C\theta_2+D)\}$$

and

$$\Re\left[\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\right]=\frac{\Re[\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)]}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}\ \text{ is a rational function.}$$

Put

$$K=(4B-A^2+C^2)^2+4(2D-AC)^2.$$

To calculate the integral of $\Re[1/\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)]$ over $[-r_0/2,r_0/2]$ with respect to θ_2, we use routine integration of a rational function using partial fractions. This calculation gives the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *Suppose a two-dimensional random walk satisfies* $0<E[X_2^2]<\infty$. *Then there exist* $c_4>0,\lambda_*\in(1/2,1)$ *and* $s_*>0$ *such that, for all* $\lambda\in(\lambda_*,1)$ *and* $\theta_1\in[-\pi,\pi]$ *with* $0<|\theta_1|<s_*$,

$$\left|\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2}\Re\left[\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\right]\,d\theta_2-\tilde a_\lambda(\theta_1)\right|\le c_4, \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\tilde a_\lambda(\theta_1)=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\lambda E[X_2^2]\sqrt{K}}\sqrt{4B-A^2+C^2+\sqrt{K}}.$$

With the help of (3.1),(3.3),(3.4) and (3.6), we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3 *Suppose a two-dimensional random walk satisfies* (*a*), (*b*) *and* (*c*). *Then there exist* $c_5>0,\lambda_*\in(1/2,1)$ *and* $s_*>0$ *such that, for* $\lambda\in(\lambda_*,1)$ *and* $\theta_1\in[-\pi,\pi]$ *with* $0<|\theta_1|<s_*$,

$$\left|a_\lambda(\theta_1)-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2}\Re\left[\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\right]\,d\theta_2\right|\le c_5(|\theta_1|^{\hat\delta}+K^{\delta/4}|\log K|)K^{-1/4}.$$

Lemma 3.4 *Suppose a two-dimensional random walk satisfies* $0 < E[X_2^2] < \infty$. *Then there exist* $c_6 > 0, \lambda_* \in (1/2, 1)$ *and* $s_* > 0$ *such that, for all* $\lambda \in (\lambda_*, 1)$ *and* $\theta_1 \in (-\pi, \pi)$ *with* $0 < |\theta_1| < s_*$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \Im \left[\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)} \right] \, d\theta_2 - \tilde{b}_\lambda(\theta_1) \right| \le c_6 \left(\frac{|2D - AC|}{\sqrt{K}} + \frac{|C|}{K^{1/4}} \right), \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\tilde{b}_\lambda(\theta_1) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\lambda E[X_2^2]\sqrt{K}} \frac{2(2D - AC)}{\sqrt{4B - A^2 + C^2 + \sqrt{K}}}.$$

Lemma 3.5 *Suppose a two-dimensional random walk satisfies* (*a*), (*b*) *and* (*c*). *Then there exist* $c_7 > 0, \lambda_* \in (1/2, 1)$ *and* $s_* > 0$ *such that, for* $\lambda \in (\lambda_*, 1)$ *and* $\theta_1 \in [-\pi, \pi]$ *with* $0 < |\theta_1| < s_*$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| b_\lambda(\theta_1) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \Im \left[\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)} \right] \, d\theta_2 \right| \\ &\quad \le c_7 \{ |\theta_1|^{\hat{\delta}} + |A| K^{(-1+\delta)/4} + (|\theta_1|^{\hat{\delta}} + K^{\delta/4})(|C| + |D| K^{-1/4}) K^{-1/4} \} K^{-1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

The proofs of the above four lemmas are given in the appendix.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We consider $P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_-}\}/P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_+}\}$ under the assumption that the random walk satisfies (a),(b) and (c). By Lemma 2.3 and the definitions of $f_0(z; \lambda)$ and $f_\infty(z; \lambda)$, this can be expressed as

$$\frac{P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_-}\}}{P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_+}\}} = \frac{f_\infty(1; \lambda)}{f_0(1; \lambda)} = \exp\left(\lim_{L \to \infty} C_L(\lambda) \right), \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$C_L(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \left(E_0[\lambda^{\eta(k)}; \zeta(k) = l] - E_0[\lambda^{\eta(k)}; \zeta(k) = -l] \right).$$

Since the left-hand side of the first identity in (4.1) is a positive real number,

$$\exp\left(\lim_{L \to \infty} C_L(\lambda) \right) = \exp\left(\lim_{L \to \infty} \Re[C_L(\lambda)] \right). \tag{4.2}$$

To obtain an expression for $\Re[C_L(\lambda)]$, we use (2.1) in Lemma 2.3 which implies that

$$C_L(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e_L(\theta_1) \frac{1}{k} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda\phi(\theta)} \, d\theta_2 \right)^{-1} \right)^k \, d\theta_1, \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$e_L(\theta_1) = \sum_{l=1}^{L}(e^{-il\theta_1} - e^{il\theta_1}).$$

From the inequality

$$\sup_{\theta_1 \in [-\pi,\pi]} \left| 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{1-\lambda\phi(\theta)} \, d\theta_2 \right)^{-1} \right| < 1,$$

which is shown in [2, p332-p333], we can interchange the order of summation and integration on the right-hand side of (4.3) and then use the identity

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k} = \mathrm{Log}(1-z), \quad (|z| < 1).$$

Here, $\mathrm{Log}(z)$ is the principal logarithm of z and we choose $|\Im[\mathrm{Log}(z)]| < \pi$. $C_L(\lambda)$ reduces to

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e_L(\theta_1) \ \mathrm{Log} \ \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{1-\lambda\phi(\theta)} \, d\theta_2 \right) \, d\theta_1.$$

By a simple calculation,

$$e_L(\theta_1) = i \left\{ \frac{\sin\theta_1}{1-\cos\theta_1}(-1+\cos L\theta_1) - \sin L\theta_1 \right\}.$$

If $\Re[z] > 0$, then $\Im[\mathrm{Log}(z)] \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and

$$\Im[\mathrm{Log}(z)] = \arcsin \frac{\Im[z]}{|z|}.$$

Recall that $a_\lambda(\theta_1)$ is the real part of $(1/(2\pi)) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} 1/(1-\lambda\phi(\theta)) d\theta_2$ and $b_\lambda(\theta_1)$ the imaginary part. It is easy to check that $a_\lambda(\theta_1) > 0$. Thus $\Re[C_L(\lambda)]$ can be expressed as

$$\Re[C_L(\lambda)] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\{ \frac{\sin\theta_1}{1-\cos\theta_1}(1-\cos L\theta_1) + \sin L\theta_1 \right\}$$

$$\times \arcsin \frac{b_\lambda(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{a_\lambda^2(\theta_1) + b_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}} \, d\theta_1.$$

Let

$$B(\theta_1) = 1 - E[\cos\theta_1 X_1] - \frac{(E[(\sin\theta_1 X_1)X_2])^2}{2E[X_2^2]} + \frac{(E[(-1+\cos\theta_1 X_1)X_2])^2}{2E[X_2^2]},$$

$$D(\theta_1) = E[\sin\theta_1 X_1] - \frac{E[(\sin\theta_1 X_1)X_2]E[(-1+\cos\theta_1 X_1)X_2]}{E[X_2^2]}.$$

Then $\tilde{a}_\lambda(\theta_1)$ in Lemma 3.2 can be written as

$$\tilde{a}_\lambda(\theta_1) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda E[X_2^2]}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-\lambda+\lambda B(\theta_1))^2+(\lambda D(\theta_1))^2}}$$

$$\times\sqrt{1-\lambda+\lambda B(\theta_1)+\sqrt{(1-\lambda+\lambda B(\theta_1))^2+(\lambda D(\theta_1))^2}},$$

and $\tilde{b}_\lambda(\theta_1)$ in Lemma 3.4 can be written as

$$\tilde{b}_\lambda(\theta_1) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda E[X_2^2]}}\frac{\lambda D(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{(1-\lambda+\lambda B(\theta_1))^2+(\lambda D(\theta_1))^2}}$$

$$\times\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\lambda+\lambda B(\theta_1)+\sqrt{(1-\lambda+\lambda B(\theta_1))^2+(\lambda D(\theta_1))^2}}}.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\tilde{b}_\lambda(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}_\lambda^2(\theta_1)+\tilde{b}_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda D(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{(1-\lambda+\lambda B(\theta_1))^2+(\lambda D(\theta_1))^2+(1-\lambda+\lambda B(\theta_1))\sqrt{(1-\lambda+\lambda B(\theta_1))^2+(\lambda D(\theta_1))^2}}}.$$

To prove Theorem 1.1, we recall the following assumption:

(A1) there exist constants $\alpha \in (0,2], \varepsilon > 0, c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$B(\theta_1) = c_1|\theta_1|^\alpha + O(|\theta_1|^{\alpha+\varepsilon}) \quad (\theta_1 \to 0)$$

and

$$D(\theta_1) = c_2|\theta_1|^\alpha\frac{\theta_1}{|\theta_1|} + O(|\theta_1|^{\alpha+\varepsilon}) \quad (\theta_1 \to 0).$$

From assumption (A1), it is easy to see that there exist constants $c_8 > 0$, $s > 0$ such that, for $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$ and $0 < |\theta_1| \le s$,

$$\left|\sqrt{(1-\lambda+\lambda B(\theta_1))^2+(\lambda D(\theta_1))^2} - k_\lambda(\theta_1)^{1/2}\right|$$

$$\leq |B(\theta_1) - c_1|\theta_1|^\alpha| + \left| D(\theta_1) - c_2|\theta_1|^\alpha \frac{\theta_1}{|\theta_1|} \right| \leq c_8 k_\lambda(\theta_1)^{1/2} |\theta_1|^\varepsilon, \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$k_\lambda(\theta_1) = (1 - \lambda + \lambda c_1|\theta_1|^\alpha)^2 + \left(\lambda c_2 |\theta_1|^\alpha \frac{\theta_1}{|\theta_1|} \right)^2.$$

(4.4) implies the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 *Assume that* $0 < E[X_2^2] < \infty$ *and* (A1) *hold. Then there exist* $c_9 > 0, s_* > 0$ *such that, for* $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$ *and* $0 < |\theta_1| \leq s_*$,

$$\left| \frac{\tilde{b}_\lambda(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}_\lambda^2(\theta_1) + \tilde{b}_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}} - \frac{\lambda c_2 |\theta_1|^\alpha (\theta_1/|\theta_1|)}{\sqrt{2} Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^\alpha)} \right| \leq c_9 |\theta_1|^\varepsilon,$$

where

$$Q_\lambda(s) = \left\{ (1 - \lambda + \lambda c_1 s)^2 + (\lambda c_2 s)^2 + (1 - \lambda + \lambda c_1 s) \sqrt{(1 - \lambda + \lambda c_1 s)^2 + (\lambda c_2 s)^2} \right\}^{1/2}.$$

From (3.6) and the definitions of A and B,

$$\frac{1}{4} c_* |\theta_2|^2 \leq \Re[\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)] = \frac{\lambda}{2} E[X_2^2] \left\{ \left(\theta_2 + \frac{A}{2} \right)^2 + B - \frac{A^2}{4} \right\}$$

for $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$ and $|(\theta_1, \theta_2)| \leq r_0$. Since A tends to zero as $|\theta_1| \to 0$, we choose θ_1 small enough so that $|(\theta_1, -A/2)| \leq r_0$. Then the above inequality (setting $\theta_2 = -A/2$) gives

$$\frac{c_*}{2\lambda E[X_2^2]} A^2 \leq 4B - A^2,$$

and letting $\lambda \uparrow 1$,

$$\frac{c_*}{2E[X_2^2]} A^2 \leq 4 \frac{1 - E[\cos \theta_1 X_1]}{(1/2) E[X_2^2]} - A^2 \leq 8 \frac{B(\theta_1)}{E[X_2^2]}.$$

From $1 - E[\cos \theta_1 X_1] \geq \left(E[(\sin \theta_1 X_1) X_2] \right)^2 / (2E[X_2^2])$, it follows that

$$C^2 \leq \frac{8}{E[X_2^2]} B(\theta_1).$$

Combining the above inequalities with and $2|D| \leq |2D - AC| + |A||C|$, and using (4.4) and Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 and 4.1 gives the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2 *Assume that* (a), $(b), (c)$ *and* $(A1)$ *hold. Then there exist* $c_{10} > 0, \lambda_* \in (1/2, 1)$ *and* $s_* \in (0, 1)$ *such that, for* $\lambda \in (\lambda_*, 1)$ *and* $0 < |\theta_1| \leq s_*$,

$$|a_\lambda(\theta_1) - \tilde{a}_\lambda(\theta_1)| \leq c_{10} \left(|\theta_1|^{\hat{\delta}} + k_\lambda^{\delta/4}(\theta_1)|\log k_\lambda(\theta_1)|\right) k_\lambda^{-1/4}(\theta_1),$$

$$|b_\lambda(\theta_1) - \tilde{b}_\lambda(\theta_1)| \leq c_{10}|\theta_1|^{\tilde{\delta}} k_\lambda^{-1/4}(\theta_1),$$

$$\left| \frac{b_\lambda(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{a_\lambda^2(\theta_1) + b_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}} - \frac{\tilde{b}_\lambda(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}_\lambda^2(\theta_1) + \tilde{b}_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}} \right| \leq c_{10}|\theta_1|^{\tilde{\delta}} |\log k_\lambda(\theta_1)|,$$

where $\tilde{\delta} = \min\{\hat{\delta}, \alpha\delta/2\}$. *Moreover, there exist* $c_{11} > 0, \lambda^* \in (1/2, 1), s^* \in (0, 1)$ *such that, for* $\lambda \in (\lambda^*, 1)$ *and* $0 < |\theta_1| \leq s^*$,

$$\left| \frac{b_\lambda(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{a_\lambda^2(\theta_1) + b_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}} - \frac{\lambda c_2 |\theta_1|^\alpha (\theta_1/|\theta_1|)}{\sqrt{2} Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^\alpha)} \right| \leq c_{11}|\theta_1|^{\delta_0}, \tag{4.5}$$

where $\delta_0 = \min\{\varepsilon, \tilde{\delta}/2\}$.

Lemma 4.3 *Assume that* (a), $(b), (c)$ *and* $(A1)$ *hold. Then there exist* $c_{12} > 0, \lambda_0 \in (1/2, 1)$ *and* $s_0 \in (0, 1)$ *such that, for* $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, 1)$ *and* $0 < |\theta_1| \leq s_0$,

$$\left| \arcsin \frac{b_\lambda(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{a_\lambda^2(\theta_1) + b_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}} - \arcsin \frac{\lambda c_2 |\theta_1|^\alpha (\theta_1/|\theta_1|)}{\sqrt{2} Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^\alpha)} \right| \leq c_{12}|\theta_1|^{\delta_0} \tag{4.6}$$

Poof. By applying the Mean Value Theorem to the inverse of the sin function, $\arcsin t$, on the closed interval with end points $\lambda c_2 |\theta_1|^\alpha (\theta_1/|\theta_1|)/(\sqrt{2} Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^\alpha))$ and $b_\lambda(\theta_1)/\sqrt{a_\lambda^2(\theta_1) + b_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}$, the left-hand side of (4.6) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - t_0^2}} \left| \frac{b_\lambda(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{a_\lambda^2(\theta_1) + b_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}} - \frac{\lambda c_2 |\theta_1|^\alpha (\theta_1/|\theta_1|)}{\sqrt{2} Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^\alpha)} \right|, \tag{4.7}$$

where t_0 is a number between $\lambda c_2 |\theta_1|^\alpha (\theta_1/|\theta_1|)/(\sqrt{2} Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^\alpha))$ and $b_\lambda(\theta_1)/\sqrt{a_\lambda^2(\theta_1) + b_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}$.

Note that for $\theta_1 \neq 0$,

$$\left| \frac{\lambda c_2 |\theta_1|^\alpha (\theta_1/|\theta_1|)}{\sqrt{2} Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^\alpha)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{4.8}$$

If θ_1 is small enough, then (4.5) implies that

$$\left| \frac{b_\lambda(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{a_\lambda^2(\theta_1) + b_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + c_{11}|\theta_1|^{\delta_0} < \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right),$$

and we obtain

$$|t_0| \le \max\left\{ \left| \frac{\lambda c_2 |\theta_1|^\alpha(\theta_1/|\theta_1|)}{\sqrt{2}Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^\alpha)} \right|, \left| \frac{b_\lambda(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{a_\lambda^2(\theta_1)+b_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}} \right| \right\} \le \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) < 1.$$

(4.7), together with (4.5) and the above inequality, gives the desired estimate (4.6).

To estimate $\lim_{L\to\infty}\Re[C_L(\lambda)]$, we decompose $\Re[C_L(\lambda)]$. With $s_0 > 0$ being the same constant as in Lemma 4.3,

$$\Re[C_L(\lambda)] = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-s_0}^{s_0} \frac{1}{\theta_1}\left(\arcsin\frac{\lambda c_2|\theta_1|^\alpha(\theta_1/|\theta_1|)}{\sqrt{2}Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^\alpha)}\right)\, d\theta_1$$

$$+I_1(s_0,\lambda) + I_2(\lambda, L) + I_3(\lambda, L) + I_4(s_0,\lambda),$$

where

$$I_1(s_0,\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-s_0}^{s_0} \frac{\sin\theta_1}{1-\cos\theta_1}\left(\arcsin\frac{b_\lambda(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{a_\lambda^2(\theta_1)+b_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}}\right)$$

$$-\frac{2}{\theta_1}\left(\arcsin\frac{\lambda c_2|\theta_1|^\alpha(\theta_1/|\theta_1|)}{\sqrt{2}Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^\alpha)}\right)\, d\theta_1,$$

$$I_2(\lambda, L) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(\arcsin\frac{b_\lambda(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{a_\lambda^2(\theta_1)+b_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}}\right)\sin(L\theta_1)\, d\theta_1,$$

$$I_3(\lambda, L) = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(\arcsin\frac{b_\lambda(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{a_\lambda^2(\theta_1)+b_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}}\right)\frac{\sin\theta_1}{1-\cos\theta_1}\cos(L\theta_1)\, d\theta_1,$$

$$I_4(s_0,\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{s_0<|\theta_1|\le\pi}\frac{\sin\theta_1}{1-\cos\theta_1}\left(\arcsin\frac{b_\lambda(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{a_\lambda^2(\theta_1)+b_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}}\right)\, d\theta_1.$$

Since $\arcsin t \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$, $\arcsin(b_\lambda(\theta_1)/\sqrt{a_\lambda^2(\theta_1)+b_\lambda^2(\theta_1)})$ is integrable on $[-\pi,\pi]$. The Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma implies that

$$\lim_{L\to\infty} I_2(\lambda, L) = 0.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$|I_4(s_0,\lambda)| \le \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{s_0<|\theta_1|\le\pi}\left|\frac{\sin\theta_1}{1-\cos\theta_1}\left(\arcsin\frac{b_\lambda(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{a_\lambda^2(\theta_1)+b_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}}\right)\right|\, d\theta_1$$

$$\leq \frac{\pi}{2}\frac{1}{1-\cos s_0}.$$

By applying Mean Value Theorem to the function $\arcsin t$ on the closed interval with end points $\lambda c_2|\theta_1|^\alpha(\theta_1/|\theta_1|)/(\sqrt{2}Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^\alpha))$ and 0, and using (4.8),

$$\left|\arcsin\frac{\lambda c_2|\theta_1|^\alpha(\theta_1/|\theta_1|)}{\sqrt{2}Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^\alpha)}\right| \leq \sqrt{2}\left|\frac{\lambda c_2|\theta_1|^\alpha(\theta_1/|\theta_1|)}{\sqrt{2}Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^\alpha)}\right| \leq \frac{\lambda c_2|\theta_1|^\alpha}{1-\lambda}.$$

This inequality and (4.6) give

$$\left|\left(\arcsin\frac{b_\lambda(\theta_1)}{\sqrt{a_\lambda^2(\theta_1)+b_\lambda^2(\theta_1)}}\right)\frac{\sin\theta_1}{1-\cos\theta_1}\right| \leq \begin{cases} \left(\dfrac{\lambda c_2|\theta_1|^\alpha}{1-\lambda}+c_{12}|\theta_1|^{\delta_0}\right)\dfrac{\pi}{|\theta_1|} & (0<|\theta_1|\leq s_0) \\ \dfrac{\pi}{2}\dfrac{1}{1-\cos s_0} & (s_0<|\theta_1|\leq\pi) \end{cases}$$

For fixed $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, 1)$, the above inequality implies that the left-hand side of the above is integrable on $[-\pi,\pi]$. By the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma,

$$\lim_{L\to\infty} I_3(\lambda, L) = 0.$$

From (4.6) and $\arcsin t \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$, the absolute value of the integrand in $I_1(s_0,\lambda)$ is bounded by $c_{12}\pi|\theta_1|^{\delta_0-1}+(\pi/2)\pi|\theta_1|$. Hence $I_1(s_0,\lambda)$ is bounded by a constant independent of $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, 1)$. We have the following estimate.

There exists a constant c_{13} such that, for $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, 1)$,

$$\left|\lim_{L\to\infty}\Re[C_L(\lambda)] - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-s_0}^{s_0}\frac{1}{\theta_1}\left(\arcsin\frac{\lambda c_2|\theta_1|^\alpha(\theta_1/|\theta_1|)}{\sqrt{2}Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^\alpha)}\right)\,d\theta_1\right| \leq c_{13}. \tag{4.9}$$

Lemma 4.4 *Assume that* (a), (b), (c) *and* (A1) *hold. Then there exists a constant* $c_{14} > 0$ *such that, for* $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, 1)$,

$$\left|\lim_{L\to\infty}\Re[C_L(\lambda)] + \frac{2}{\alpha\pi}\left(\arcsin\frac{c_2}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2+c_1\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2}}}\right)\log(1-\lambda)\right| \leq c_{14}. \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. (4.9) reduces our problem to the estimate for

$$I_0(s_0,\lambda) := \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-s_0}^{s_0}\frac{1}{\theta_1}\left(\arcsin\frac{\lambda c_2|\theta_1|^\alpha(\theta_1/|\theta_1|)}{\sqrt{2}Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^\alpha)}\right)\,d\theta_1.$$

To estimate this integral, we use the power series representation for $\arcsin t$:

$$\arcsin t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdots(2n-1)}{2\cdot 4\cdot 6\cdots 2n}\frac{t^{2n+1}}{2n+1} \quad (-1<t<1).$$

By the dominated convergence theorem,

$$I_0(s_0,\lambda)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdots(2n-1)}{2\cdot 4\cdot 6\cdots 2n}\frac{1}{2n+1}\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-s_0}^{s_0}\frac{1}{\theta_1}\left(\frac{\lambda c_2|\theta_1|^{\alpha}(\theta_1/|\theta_1|)}{\sqrt{2}Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^{\alpha})}\right)^{2n+1}\,d\theta_1. \tag{4.11}$$

We notice that the function

$$\frac{1}{\theta_1}\left(\frac{\lambda c_2|\theta_1|^{\alpha}(\theta_1/|\theta_1|)}{\sqrt{2}Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^{\alpha})}\right)^{2n+1}$$

that appears on the right-hand side of the above equality is an even function, and perform the change of variables $s=\theta_1^{\alpha}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-s_0}^{s_0}\frac{1}{\theta_1}\left(\frac{\lambda c_2|\theta_1|^{\alpha}(\theta_1/|\theta_1|)}{\sqrt{2}Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^{\alpha})}\right)^{2n+1}\,d\theta_1&=2\int_{0}^{s_0}\frac{1}{\theta_1}\left(\frac{\lambda c_2|\theta_1|^{\alpha}(\theta_1/|\theta_1|)}{\sqrt{2}Q_\lambda(|\theta_1|^{\alpha})}\right)^{2n+1}\,d\theta_1\\&=\frac{2}{\alpha}\left(\frac{\lambda c_2}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2n+1}\int_0^{s_0^{\alpha}}\frac{s^{2n}}{(Q_\lambda(s))^{2n+1}}\,ds.\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Put

$$Q_\lambda^{(1)}(s)=\left\{\lambda^2(c_1^2+c_2^2+c_1\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2})s^2+(1-\lambda)\lambda(3c_1+\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2})s+2(1-\lambda)^2\right\}^{1/2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}Q_\lambda^{(2)}(s)=\Bigg\{&\lambda^2(c_1^2+c_2^2+c_1\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2})s^2\\&+(1-\lambda)\lambda\left(2c_1+\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2}+\frac{c_1^2}{\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2}}\right)s+(1-\lambda)^2\left(1+\frac{c_1}{\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2}}\right)\Bigg\}^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

$Q_\lambda^{(1)}(s)$ is obtained by replacing $\sqrt{(1-\lambda+\lambda c_1 s)^2+(\lambda c_2 s)^2}$ in the definition of $Q_\lambda(s)$ with $\lambda\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2}s+1-\lambda$. $Q_\lambda^{(2)}(s)$ is obtained by replacing $\sqrt{(1-\lambda+\lambda c_1 s)^2+(\lambda c_2 s)^2}$ in the definition of $Q_\lambda(s)$ with $\lambda\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2}s+(1-\lambda)(c_1/\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2})$.

From these inequalities,

$$\lambda\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2}s+(1-\lambda)\frac{c_1}{\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2}}\le\sqrt{(1-\lambda+\lambda c_1 s)^2+(\lambda c_2 s)^2}\le\lambda\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2}s+1-\lambda$$

for $s>0$, so we obtain

$$\int_0^{s_0^{\alpha}}\frac{s^{2n}}{(Q_\lambda^{(1)}(s))^{2n+1}}\,ds\le\int_0^{s_0^{\alpha}}\frac{s^{2n}}{(Q_\lambda(s))^{2n+1}}\,ds\le\int_0^{s_0^{\alpha}}\frac{s^{2n}}{(Q_\lambda^{(2)}(s))^{2n+1}}\,ds. \tag{4.13}$$

For $a, b, c \in (0, \infty)$ with $4ac - b^2 > 0$, we next evaluate the integral

$$I_n^\alpha(s_0) := \int_0^{s_0^\alpha} \frac{s^{2n}}{(as^2 + bs + c)^{(2n+1)/2}} \, ds \quad (n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}).$$

In the case when $n = 0$,

$$I_0^\alpha(s_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \left[\log(2as + b + 2\sqrt{a(as^2 + bs + c)} \right]_0^{s_0^\alpha}.$$

By setting $a = \lambda^2(c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_1\sqrt{c_1^2 + c_2^2}), b = (1 - \lambda)\lambda(3c_1 + \sqrt{c_1^2 + c_2^2}),\ c = 2(1 - \lambda)^2$, this identity yields the following estimate.

There exists a constant $c_{15} > 0$ such that, for $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$,

$$\left| \frac{\lambda c_2}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^{s_0^\alpha} \frac{1}{Q_\lambda^{(1)}(s)} \, ds + \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_1\sqrt{c_1^2 + c_2^2}}} \log(1 - \lambda) \right| \le c_{15}. \tag{4.14}$$

In the case when $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we make the substitutions

$$u = \frac{1}{(2n-1)a} \frac{1}{(as^2 + bs + c)^{(2n-1)/2}}, \qquad dv = (2n-1)s^{2n-2},$$

$$du = -\frac{1}{2a} \frac{2as + b}{(as^2 + bs + c)^{(2n+1)/2}}, \qquad v = s^{2n-1}.$$

The formula for integration by parts gives

$$I_n^\alpha(s_0) = \frac{1}{a} I_{n-1}^\alpha(s_0) + e_n, \tag{4.15}$$

where

$$e_n = -\frac{1}{(2n-1)a} \frac{s_0^{(2n-1)\alpha}}{(as_0^{2\alpha} + bs_0^\alpha + c)^{(2n-1)/2}} - \frac{b}{2a} \int_0^{s_0^\alpha} \frac{s^{2n-1}}{(as^2 + bs + c)^{(2n+1)/2}} \, ds.$$

Since a, b, c are positive, satisfying the inequality $4ac - b^2 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |e_n| &\le \frac{1}{a^{(2n+1)/2}} + \frac{b}{2a^{(2n+1)/2}} \int_0^{s_0^\alpha} \frac{1}{as^2 + bs + c} \, ds \\ &\le \frac{1}{a^{(2n+1)/2}} \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{b}{\sqrt{4ac - b^2}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

By using (4.15) repeatedly, we obtain

$$I_n^\alpha(s_0) = \frac{1}{a^n} I_0^\alpha(s_0) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a^j} e_{n-j}.$$

With the help of the above relation and (4.16), and by setting $a = \lambda^2(c_1^2+c_2^2+c_1\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2})$, $b = (1-\lambda)\lambda(3c_1+\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2})$, $c = 2(1-\lambda)^2$, (4.14) implies the following estimate for $k = 1$.

There exists a constant $c_{16} > 0$ such that, for $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$ and $n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left| \left(\frac{\lambda c_2}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2n+1} \int_0^{s_0^\alpha} \frac{s^{2n}}{(Q_\lambda^{(k)}(s))^{2n+1}}\, ds + \left(\frac{c_2}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2+c_1\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2}}}\right)^{2n+1} \log(1-\lambda) \right|$$

$$\leq c_{16}(n+1)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2n}, \quad (k = 1, 2). \tag{4.17}$$

The same argument as in the proof of the estimate for $k = 1$ in (4.17) gives the estimate for $k = 2$ in (4.17).

By (4.13), (4.17) also holds when the integral of $s^{2n}/(Q_\lambda^{(k)}(s))^{2n+1}$ over $[0, s_0^\alpha]$ with respect to s is replaced by the integral of $s^{2n}/(Q_\lambda(s))^{2n+1}$ over $[0, s_0^\alpha]$ with respect to s. This estimate implies (4.10) in view of (4.12) and (4.11).

We recall (4.1) and (4.2). It immediate from Lemma 4.4 that, for $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, 1)$,

$$(1-\lambda)^{-2\beta} e^{-c_{14}} \leq \frac{P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_-}\}}{P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_+}\}} \leq (1-\lambda)^{-2\beta} e^{c_{14}},$$

where β is the same constant as in Theorem 1.1. By combining the above inequalities, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have the following estimate.

Proposition 4.5 *Assume that* (*a*), (*b*), (*c*) *and* (*A*1) *hold. Then there exist constants* $c_{17} > 0, c_{18} > 0$ *and* $\lambda_0^* \in (1/2, 1)$ *such that, for* $\lambda \in (\lambda_0^*, 1)$,

$$c_{17}(1-\lambda)^{-\beta+(1/4)} \leq P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_-}\} = (1-\lambda)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n P_0\{\tau_{V_-} > n\} \leq c_{18}(1-\lambda)^{-\beta+(1/4)}.$$

This asymptotic behavior of $P_0\{T_\lambda < \tau_{V_-}\}$ implies (1.1) by the Tauberian theorem (see [5, Theorem 2.4.3]). The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

The proofs of Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3 are similar to that of Theorem 1.1 and are thus omitted.

5 Appendix

We calculate

$$\Re\left[\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2}\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\,d\theta_2\right]=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2}\Re\left[\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\right]\,d\theta_2,$$

where r_0 is the constant in Lemma 3.1.

Recall that

$$A=\frac{E[(\sin\theta_1X_1)X_2]}{(1/2)E[X_2^2]},\quad B=\frac{1-\lambda E[\cos\theta_1X_1]}{(\lambda/2)E[X_2^2]},$$
$$C=\frac{E[(-1+\cos\theta_1X_1)X_2]}{(1/2)E[X_2^2]},\quad D=\frac{E[\sin\theta_1X_1]}{(1/2)E[X_2^2]},$$
$$K=(4B-A^2+C^2)^2+4(2D-AC)^2$$

and

$$\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)=\frac{\lambda}{2}E[X_2^2]\{\theta_2^2+A\theta_2+B-i(C\theta_2+D)\}.$$

Put

$$a_\pm=A\pm\sqrt{H},$$

$$b_\pm=\begin{cases}\frac{1}{4}\left\{A^2+C^2+\sqrt{K}\pm\frac{2AH-2C(2D-AC)}{\sqrt{H}}\right\} & 2D-AC\neq 0\\ \frac{1}{2}\{2B+C^2\pm\sqrt{4B-A^2+C^2}|C|\} & 2D-AC=0,\end{cases}$$

where

$$H=\frac{1}{2}(-4B+A^2-C^2+\sqrt{K}).$$

Then $a_\pm, b_\pm$ are real numbers, since $4B-A^2-C^2>0$, and

$$(\theta_2^2+A\theta_2+B)^2+(C\theta_2+D)^2=(\theta_2^2+a_+\theta_2+b_+)(\theta_2^2+a_-\theta_2+b_-).$$

In the case $2D-AC\neq 0$, it is easy to verify that

$$(a_+-a_-)(a_+b_--a_-b_+)+(b_--b_+)^2=\frac{1}{2}(-4B+A^2+C^2+\sqrt{K})\sqrt{K}\neq 0 \tag{5.1}$$

using

$$(b_--b_+)^2=\left\{-\frac{AH-C(2D-AC)}{\sqrt{H}}\right\}^2=\frac{1}{4}(A^2+C^2+\sqrt{K})^2-4(B^2+D^2). \tag{5.2}$$

The partial-fraction decomposition of $\Re[1/\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)]$ is

$$\Re\left[\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\right] = \frac{\Re[\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)]}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2} = \frac{1}{(\lambda/2)E[X_2^2]}\left(\frac{F\theta_2+G}{\theta_2^2+a_+\theta_2+b_+}+\frac{-F\theta_2+I}{\theta_2^2+a_-\theta_2+b_-}\right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \frac{-(a_+b_- - a_-b_+) + A(b_- - b_+) + B(a_+ - a_-)}{(a_+ - a_-)(a_+b_- - a_-b_+) + (b_- - b_+)^2}, \\ G &= \frac{-b_+(b_- - b_+) - A(a_+ - a_-)b_+ + Ba_+(a_+ - a_-) + B(b_- - b_+)}{(a_+ - a_-)(a_+b_- - a_-b_+) + (b_- - b_+)^2}, \\ I &= \frac{b_-(b_- - b_+) + A(a_+ - a_-)b_- - Ba_-(a_+ - a_-) - B(b_- - b_+)}{(a_+ - a_-)(a_+b_- - a_-b_+) + (b_- - b_+)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of $a_\pm$ and $b_\pm$

$$4b_\pm - a_\pm^2 = J_1 \pm J_2,$$

where

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{2}(4B - A^2 + 3C^2 + \sqrt{K}), \quad J_2 = -\frac{2C(2D - AC)}{\sqrt{H}}.$$

From (5.2), $4B - A^2 - C^2 > 0$ implies

$$J_1^2 - J_2^2 = \frac{1}{4}(4B - A^2 - C^2 + \sqrt{K})^2 > 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Combining the above inequality with $J_1 > 0$, we have

$$|J_2| < J_1$$

and

$$4b_\pm - a_\pm^2 > 0. \tag{5.4}$$

We turn now to the integration. In the case $2D - AC \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\lambda E[X_2^2]}{2}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2}\Re\left[\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\right]d\theta_2 \\ &\quad = \int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2}\frac{F\theta_2+G}{\theta_2^2+a_+\theta_2+b_+}+\frac{-F\theta_2+I}{\theta_2^2+a_-\theta_2+b_-}\,d\theta_2 \\ &\quad = \frac{F}{2}\left[\log\frac{\theta_2^2+a_+\theta_2+b_+}{\theta_2^2+a_-\theta_2+b_-}\right]_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} + \frac{-a_+F+2G}{\sqrt{4b_+-a_+^2}}\left[\arctan\frac{2\theta_2+a_+}{\sqrt{4b_+-a_+^2}}\right]_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{a_-F+2I}{\sqrt{4b_- - a_-^2}}\left[\arctan\frac{2\theta_2+a_-}{\sqrt{4b_- - a_-^2}}\right]_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2}. \tag{5.5}$$

In the case $2D-AC=0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\lambda E[X_2^2]}{2}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2}\Re\left[\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\right]d\theta_2\\ &\quad=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4B-A^2+C^2}}\left[\arctan\frac{2\theta_2+A}{\sqrt{4B-A^2+C^2}+|C|}\right]_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2}\\ &\quad\quad+\frac{1}{\sqrt{4B-A^2+C^2}}\left[\arctan\frac{2\theta_2+A}{\sqrt{4B-A^2+C^2}-|C|}\right]_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. We will prove (3.7) in the case $2D-AC\neq 0$; the proof of (3.7) in the case $2D-AC=0$ is similar and is omitted.

Assume $2D-AC\neq 0$. In view of (5.2) and the definition of $a_\pm$, $a_\pm$ and $b_\pm$ tend to 0 as $\theta_1\to 0$ and $\lambda\uparrow 1$. With the help of

$$F=-\frac{\sqrt{H}}{\sqrt{K}}\quad\text{and}\quad|\log(1+x)|\leq 2|x|\quad(|x|\leq 1/2),$$

the first term on the right-hand side of the last equality in (5.5) is bounded by a constant.

A simple calculation gives

$$-a_+F+2G=\frac{1}{2\sqrt{K}}\left\{4B-A^2+C^2+\sqrt{K}-\frac{2C(2D-AC)}{\sqrt{H}}\right\},$$

$$a_-F+2I=\frac{1}{2\sqrt{K}}\left\{4B-A^2+C^2+\sqrt{K}+\frac{2C(2D-AC)}{\sqrt{H}}\right\}.$$

We recall that

$$J_2=-\frac{2C(2D-AC)}{\sqrt{H}}.$$

Since

$$|J_2|<J_1=\frac{1}{2}(4B-A^2+3C^2+\sqrt{K}),$$

as shown in the proof of (5.4), $|-a_+F+2G|$ is bounded by a constant. The difference between the second term on the right-hand side of the last equality in (5.5) and

$$\frac{-a_+F+2G}{\sqrt{4b_+-a_+^2}}\pi=\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{J_1+J_2}\sqrt{K}}\left\{4B-A^2+C^2+\sqrt{K}-\frac{2C(2D-AC)}{\sqrt{H}}\right\}$$

is bounded by a constant. Here we used the inequality

$$0 \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan x \leq \frac{1}{x} \quad (x > 0).$$

Similarly, the difference between the third term on the right-hand side of the last equality in (5.5) and

$$\frac{a_- F + 2I}{\sqrt{4b_- - a_-^2}}\pi = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{J_1 - J_2}\sqrt{K}} \left\{ 4B - A^2 + C^2 + \sqrt{K} + \frac{2C(2D - AC)}{\sqrt{H}} \right\}$$

is bounded by a constant. Put

$$\bar{a}_\lambda(\theta_1) = \frac{1}{2\lambda E[X_2^2]\sqrt{K}} \left(\frac{J + J_2}{\sqrt{J_1 + J_2}} + \frac{J - J_2}{\sqrt{J_1 - J_2}} \right),$$

where

$$J = 4B - A^2 + C^2 + \sqrt{K}.$$

Then the difference between $(1/2\pi)\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \Re[1/\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)]d\theta_2$ and $\bar{a}_\lambda(\theta_1)$ is bounded by a constant.

It remains to show that

$$\bar{a}_\lambda(\theta_1) = \tilde{a}_\lambda(\theta_1) := \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\lambda E[X_2^2]\sqrt{K}} \sqrt{4B - A^2 + C^2 + \sqrt{K}}. \tag{5.6}$$

Since $4B - A^2 - C^2 > 0$,

$$|J_2| < J_1 \leq J,$$

which implies that $\bar{a}_\lambda(\theta_1) \geq 0$. (The first inequality above has already been shown in the proof of (5.4).) We will compute $(\bar{a}_\lambda(\theta_1))^2$. By (5.3) and $J = 2J_1 - 2C^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{ (J + J_2)\sqrt{J_1 - J_2} + (J - J_2)\sqrt{J_1 + J_2} \right\}^2 \\
&= 2\left(J_1 + \sqrt{J_1^2 - J_2^2} \right) J^2 + 2\left(J_1 - 2J - \sqrt{J_1^2 - J_2^2} \right) J_2^2 \\
&= 8(4B - A^2 + C^2 + \sqrt{K})(J_1 - C^2)^2 - 4(4B - A^2 + \sqrt{K})J_2^2 \\
&= 8(4B - A^2 + C^2 + \sqrt{K})(J_1^2 - J_2^2) + 8(4B - A^2 + C^2 + \sqrt{K})(-2J_1 + C^2)C^2 \\
&\qquad + 4(4B - A^2 + 2C^2 + \sqrt{K})J_2^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

In view of

$$J_2^2 = J_1^2 - \frac{1}{4}(4B - A^2 - C^2 + \sqrt{K})^2 = 2(4B - A^2 + C^2 + \sqrt{K})C^2,$$

which follows from (5.3), the sum of the second and third terms on the right-hand side of the last equality in (5.7) vanish. Hence

$$(\bar{a}_\lambda(\theta_1))^2 = (\tilde{a}_\lambda(\theta_1))^2 .$$

Since $\bar{a}_\lambda(\theta_1)$ and $\tilde{a}_\lambda(\theta_1)$ are nonnegative functions, the above relation yields (5.6).

To show Lemma 3.3, we require the following lemmas.

Lemma 5.1 *Assume (a), (b) and (c) hold. Then, for $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$ and $\theta_1 \in [-\pi, \pi]$ with $|\theta_1| \le r_0/2$,*

$$(i) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \frac{\theta_2^2}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}\, d\theta_2 \le \frac{16}{c_* E[X_2^2]} K^{-1/4},$$

$$(ii) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}\, d\theta_2 \le \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}\, d\theta_2 \le \frac{64}{(E[X_2^2])^2} K^{-3/4}.$$

Proof. By (3.6), we obtain that, for $|\theta_1| \le r_0/2$,

$$\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \frac{\theta_2^2}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}\, d\theta_2 \le \frac{4}{c_*}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \frac{\Re[\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)]}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}\, d\theta_2 \le \frac{4}{c_*}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Re\left[\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\right] d\theta_2.$$

Assume that $2D - AC \neq 0$. In view of (5.5), the definition of $\tilde{a}_\lambda(\theta_1)$ and $4B - A^2 + C^2 \le \sqrt{K}$ imply that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Re\left[\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\right] d\theta_2 = 2\pi \tilde{a}_\lambda(\theta_1) \le \frac{4\pi}{\lambda E[X_2^2]} K^{-1/4}.$$

The above two inequalities give the desired estimate (i) in the case $2D - AC \neq 0$. The proof of (i) in the case $2D - AC = 0$ is similar and is omitted.

Since $1/|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2 \ge 0$, the first inequality of (ii) is clear. To obtain the last inequality of (ii), we calculate the integral of $1/|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2$ over $(-\infty, \infty)$ with respect to θ_2. Assume $2D - AC \neq 0$. The partial-fraction decomposition of $1/|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2$ is

$$\frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2} = \frac{4}{(\lambda E[X_2^2])^2}\left(\frac{\bar{F}\theta_2 + \bar{G}}{\theta_2^2 + a_+\theta_2 + b_+} + \frac{-\bar{F}\theta_2 + \bar{I}}{\theta_2^2 + a_-\theta_2 + b_-}\right),$$

where

$$\bar{F} = \frac{a_+ - a_-}{(a_+ - a_-)(a_+ b_- - a_- b_+) + (b_- - b_+)^2},$$

$$\bar{G} = \frac{a_+(a_+ - a_-) + (b_- - b_+)}{(a_+ - a_-)(a_+b_- - a_-b_+) + (b_- - b_+)^2},$$
$$\bar{I} = \frac{-a_-(a_+ - a_-) - (b_- - b_+)}{(a_+ - a_-)(a_+b_- - a_-b_+) + (b_- - b_+)^2}.$$

This gives the integral

$$\frac{(\lambda E[X_2^2])^2}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)|^2}\, d\theta_2 = \left(\frac{-a_+\bar{F} + 2\bar{G}}{\sqrt{4b_+ - a_+^2}} + \frac{a_-\bar{F} + 2\bar{I}}{\sqrt{4b_- - a_-^2}} \right) \pi.$$

A simple calculation and (5.1) show that the right-hand side of the above equality is equal to

$$c_\lambda(\theta_1) := \left\{ \frac{2H - J_2}{\sqrt{J_1 + J_2}} + \frac{2H + J_2}{\sqrt{J_1 - J_2}} \right\} \times \frac{2\pi}{(-4B + A^2 + C^2 + \sqrt{K})\sqrt{K}}.$$

We compute $(c_\lambda(\theta_1))^2$ by using (5.3). From this computation and $c_\lambda(\theta_1) \geq 0$, we have

$$c_\lambda(\theta_1) = \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi}{\sqrt{K}} \frac{\sqrt{4B - A^2 + C^2 + \sqrt{K}}}{4B - A^2 - C^2 + \sqrt{K}}.$$

This implies the desired estimate (ii) in the case $2D - AC \neq 0$. The proof of (ii) in the case $2D - AC = 0$ is similar and is omitted.

Lemma 5.2 *Assume that (a), (b) and (c) hold. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that, for $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$ and $\theta_1 \in [-\pi, \pi]$ with $|\theta_1| \leq r_0/2$,*

$$(iii) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \frac{|\theta_2|^{2+\delta}}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)|^2}\, d\theta_2 \leq cK^{(-1+\delta)/4}(1 + |\log K|),$$

$$(iv) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \frac{|\theta_2|^{\gamma}}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)|^2}\, d\theta_2 \leq cK^{(-3+\gamma)/4} \quad (\gamma = \delta, 1, 1 + \delta).$$

Proof. We prove (iii). Since $\delta \in (0, 1)$,

$$|\theta_2|^{2+\delta} \leq \begin{cases} K^{(2+\delta)/4} & (|\theta_2| \leq K^{1/4}) \\ |\theta_2|^3 K^{(-1+\delta)/4} & (|\theta_2| > K^{1/4}). \end{cases} \tag{5.8}$$

We use (5.8) to obtain that the left-hand side of (iii) is bounded by

$$\frac{K^{(2+\delta)/4}}{2\pi} \int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)|^2}\, d\theta_2 + \frac{K^{(-1+\delta)/4}}{2\pi} \int_{K^{1/4} < |\theta_2| \leq r_0/2} \frac{|\theta_2|^3}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)|^2}\, d\theta_2. \tag{5.9}$$

By (ii) in Lemma 5.1, the first term of (5.9) is bounded by a constant multiple of $K^{(-1+\delta)/4}$. From (3.6), we replace $|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2$ with $c_*^2|\theta_2|^4/16$ in the second term of (5.9). Then the second term of (5.9) is bounded by a constant multiple of $K^{(-1+\delta)/4}(1+|\log K|)$. Thus we conclude that (iii) holds.

To prove (iv), we use the inequality

$$|\theta_2|^\gamma \le \begin{cases} K^{\gamma/4} & (|\theta_2| \le K^{1/4}) \\ |\theta_2|^2 K^{(\gamma-2)/4} & (|\theta_2| > K^{1/4}) \end{cases}$$

instead of (5.8), and the result follows by (i) and (ii) in Lemma 5.1.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. With $r_0 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_\lambda(\theta_1) - \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \Re\left[\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\right]\, d\theta_2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \chi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)\, d\theta_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{r_0/2<|\theta_2|\le\pi} \frac{\Re[1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)]}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}\, d\theta_2, \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

where

$$\chi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2) = \frac{\Re[1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)]}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2} - \frac{\Re[\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)]}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}.$$

(3.4) implies that, for $\lambda \in (1/2,1)$ and $(\theta_1,\theta_2) \in [-\pi,\pi]\times[-\pi,\pi]$ with $|\theta_2| \ge r_0/2$,

$$\left|\frac{\Re[1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)]}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}\right| \le \frac{2}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2} \le \frac{128}{c_*^2 r_0^4}.$$

Hence the second term on the right-hand side of (5.10) is bounded by a constant.

To estimate the first term on the right-hand side of (5.10), we consider $\chi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)$. By applying the estimates (3.3) and

$$\frac{1}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|} \le \frac{c_3(\pi^{\hat\delta}+\pi^\delta)+1}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|} \quad (\lambda \in (1/2,1), (\theta_1,\theta_2) \in [-\pi,\pi]\times[-\pi,\pi]),$$

which follows from (3.1), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)| &\le |\Re[1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)] - \Re[\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)]| \frac{1}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2} \\ &\quad + |\Re[\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)]| \frac{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)-\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)||\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|}\left(\frac{1}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|} + \frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|}\right) \\ &\le \hat c \frac{(|\theta_1|^{\hat\delta}+|\theta_2|^\delta)\theta_2^2}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

where $\hat{c}$ is a constant independent of $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$ and $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in [-\pi, \pi] \times [-\pi, \pi]$.

The proof completed by showing the following estimates. The integral of $|\theta_2|^2/|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)|^2$ appearing on the right-hand side of the above inequality over $[-r_0/2, r_0/2]$ with respect to θ_2 is bounded by a constant multiple of $K^{-1/4}$. Moreover, the integral of $|\theta_2|^{2+\delta}/|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)|^2$ appearing on the right-hand side of the above inequality over $[-r_0/2, r_0/2]$ with respect to θ_2 is bounded by a constant multiple of $K^{(-1+\delta)/4}|\log K|$. These estimates come from (i) in Lemma 5.1 and (iii) in Lemma 5.2. Notice that K tends to zero as $\theta_1 \to 0$ and $r \uparrow 1$, which implies that $1 \le |\log K|$.

Proof of Lemma 3.4. (3.8) can be proved by the same procedure as in Lemma 3.2. We only give a sketch of the proof of (3.8) in the case $2D - AC \neq 0$.

Assume that $2D - AC \neq 0$. We calculate

$$\Im\left[\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)}\, d\theta_2\right] = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \Im\left[\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)}\right]\, d\theta_2.$$

The partial-fraction decomposition of $\Im[1/\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)]$ is

$$\Im\left[\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)}\right] = \frac{-\Im[\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)]}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)|^2} = \frac{1}{(\lambda/2)E[X_2^2]}\left(\frac{\tilde{F}\theta_2 + \tilde{G}}{\theta_2^2 + a_+\theta_2 + b_+} + \frac{-\tilde{F}\theta_2 + \tilde{I}}{\theta_2^2 + a_-\theta_2 + b_-}\right),$$

where

$$\tilde{F} = \frac{C(b_- - b_+) + D(a_+ - a_-)}{(a_+ - a_-)(a_+b_- - a_-b_+) + (b_- - b_+)^2},$$

$$\tilde{G} = \frac{-C(a_+ - a_-)b_+ + Da_+(a_+ - a_-) + D(b_- - b_+)}{(a_+ - a_-)(a_+b_- - a_-b_+) + (b_- - b_+)^2},$$

$$\tilde{I} = \frac{C(a_+ - a_-)b_- - Da_-(a_+ - a_-) - D(b_- - b_+)}{(a_+ - a_-)(a_+b_- - a_-b_+) + (b_- - b_+)^2}.$$

This gives the integral

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\lambda E[X_2^2]}{2}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \Im\left[\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)}\right]\, d\theta_2 \\
&\quad = \frac{\tilde{F}}{2}\left[\log\frac{\theta_2^2 + a_+\theta_2 + b_+}{\theta_2^2 + a_-\theta_2 + b_-}\right]_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} + \frac{-a_+\tilde{F} + 2\tilde{G}}{\sqrt{4b_+ - a_+^2}}\left[\arctan\frac{2\theta_2 + a_+}{\sqrt{4b_+ - a_+^2}}\right]_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \\
&\qquad + \frac{a_-\tilde{F} + 2\tilde{I}}{\sqrt{4b_- - a_-^2}}\left[\arctan\frac{2\theta_2 + a_-}{\sqrt{4b_- - a_-^2}}\right]_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2}. \qquad (5.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\tilde{F} = \frac{2D - AC}{\sqrt{K}\sqrt{H}}, \qquad |\log(1+x)| \le 2|x| \quad (|x| \le 1/2),$$

and

$$(2D - AC)^2 = \frac{1}{2}(4B - A^2 + C^2 + \sqrt{K})H, \tag{5.13}$$

the first term on the right-hand side of (5.12) is bounded by a constant multiple of $|2D - AC|/\sqrt{K} + |C|$. A simple calculation gives

$$-a_+\tilde{F} + 2\tilde{G} = \frac{2D - AC}{\sqrt{K}} - \frac{C\sqrt{H}}{\sqrt{K}}, \qquad a_-\tilde{F} + 2\tilde{I} = \frac{2D - AC}{\sqrt{K}} + \frac{C\sqrt{H}}{\sqrt{K}}$$

by (5.13) above. The difference between the second term on the right-hand side of (5.12) and

$$\frac{-a_+\tilde{F} + 2\tilde{G}}{\sqrt{4b_+ - a_+^2}}\pi = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{J_1 + J_2\sqrt{K}}}(2D - AC - C\sqrt{H})$$

is bounded by a constant multiple of $|2D - AC|/\sqrt{K} + |C|/K^{1/4}$. Similarly, the difference between the third term on the right-hand side of (5.12) and

$$\frac{a_-\tilde{F} + 2\tilde{I}}{\sqrt{4b_- - a_-^2}}\pi = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{J_1 - J_2\sqrt{K}}}(2D - AC + C\sqrt{H})$$

is bounded by a constant multiple of $|2D - AC|/\sqrt{K} + |C|/K^{1/4}$.

Put

$$\bar{b}_\lambda(\theta_1) = \frac{1}{\lambda E[X_2^2]\sqrt{K}}\left(\frac{2D - AC - C\sqrt{H}}{\sqrt{J_1 + J_2}} + \frac{2D - AC + C\sqrt{H}}{\sqrt{J_1 - J_2}}\right).$$

Then the difference between $(1/2\pi)\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \Im[1/\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1, \theta_2)]d\theta_2$ and $\bar{b}_\lambda(\theta_1)$ is bounded by a constant multiple of $|2D - AC|/\sqrt{K} + |C|/K^{1/4}$.

It remains to show that

$$\bar{b}_\lambda(\theta_1) = \tilde{b}_\lambda(\theta_1) := \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\lambda E[X_2^2]\sqrt{K}} \frac{2(2D - AC)}{\sqrt{4B - A^2 + C^2 + \sqrt{K}}}.$$

By using (5.3) and noticing (5.13), we have

$$\bar{b}_\lambda^2(\theta_1) = \frac{4H}{(\lambda E[X_2^2])^2 K} = \tilde{b}_\lambda^2(\theta_1). \tag{5.14}$$

From the inequality

$$0 \le C^2 H \le \frac{1}{2}(4B - A^2 + C^2 + \sqrt{K})H = (2D - AC)^2,$$

the sign of $\tilde{b}_\lambda(\theta_1)$ is the same as that of $\bar{b}_\lambda(\theta_1)$. (5.14) yields $\bar{b}_\lambda(\theta_1) = \tilde{b}_\lambda(\theta_1)$.

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Let $\hat{b}_\lambda(\theta_1)$ be the imaginary part of

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\, d\theta_2.$$

By performing the change of variables $\theta_2 = -\tilde{\theta}_2$ and using the symmetry of trigonometric functions, $b_\lambda(\theta_1) = -b_\lambda(-\theta_1)$ and $\hat{b}_\lambda(\theta_1) = -\hat{b}_\lambda(-\theta_1)$. In view of this,

$$\begin{aligned} b_\lambda(\theta_1) - \hat{b}_\lambda(\theta_1) &= \frac{1}{2}\{b_\lambda(\theta_1) - b_\lambda(-\theta_1) - (\hat{b}_\lambda(\theta_1) - \hat{b}_\lambda(-\theta_1))\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \chi_\lambda^{(1)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)\, d\theta_2 + \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \chi_\lambda^{(2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)\, d\theta_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{r_0/2\le|\theta_2|\le\pi} \chi_\lambda^{(3)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)\, d\theta_2, \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\lambda^{(1)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) &= \lambda E[(\sin\theta_1 X_1)(\cos\theta_2 X_2)]\left(\frac{1}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2} + \frac{1}{|1-\lambda\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}\right) \\ &\quad -\lambda E[\sin\theta_1 X_1]\left(\frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2} + \frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}\right), \\ \chi_\lambda^{(2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) &= \lambda E[(\cos\theta_1 X_1)(\sin\theta_2 X_2)]\left(\frac{1}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2} - \frac{1}{|1-\lambda\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}\right) \\ &\quad -\lambda E[(-1+\cos\theta_1 X_1)X_2]\theta_2\left(\frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2} - \frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\chi_\lambda^{(3)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) = \frac{\lambda E[\sin(\theta_1 X_1 + \theta_2 X_2)]}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2} - \frac{\lambda E[\sin(-\theta_1 X_1 + \theta_2 X_2)]}{|1-\lambda\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}.$$

The inequality $E[|\sin\theta_1 X_1|] \le E[|X_1|^\delta]|\theta_1|^\delta$ and (3.4) imply that, for $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$ and $(\theta_1,\theta_2) \in [-\pi,\pi]\times[-\pi,\pi]$ with $|\theta_2| \ge r_0/2$,

$$|\chi_\lambda^{(3)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)| \le \frac{|E[(\sin\theta_1 X_1)(\cos\theta_2 X_2)]|}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2} + \frac{|E[(\sin\theta_1 X_1)(\cos\theta_2 X_2)]|}{|1-\lambda\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}$$

$$+|E[(\cos\theta_1 X_1)(\sin\theta_2 X_2)]|\left|\frac{1}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}-\frac{1}{|1-\lambda\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}\right|$$

$$\le \frac{E[|X_1|^{\delta}]|\theta_1|^{\delta}}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}+\frac{E[|X_1|^{\delta}]|\theta_1|^{\delta}}{|1-\lambda\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}$$

$$+|\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)-\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|\frac{|1-\lambda\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|+|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2|1-\lambda\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}$$

$$\le \frac{128E[|X_1|^{\delta}]}{c_*^2 r_0^4}\left(1+\frac{32}{c_* r_0^2}\right)|\theta_1|^{\delta}.$$

Hence the third term on the right-hand side of the last equality in (5.15) is bounded by a constant multiple of $|\theta_1|^{\delta}$.

To estimate the first and second term on the right-hand side of the last equality in (5.15), we consider $\chi_\lambda^{(1)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)$ and $\chi_\lambda^{(2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)$. With the help of

$$|E[(\sin\theta_1 X_1)(\cos\theta_2 X_2)]-E[\sin\theta_1 X_1]|\le E[|X_1|^{\hat\delta}X_2^2]|\theta_1|^{\hat\delta}\theta_2^2$$

and (3.4), the same argument as shown in (5.11) implies that

$$|\chi_\lambda^{(1)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)|\le \frac{|E[(\sin\theta_1 X_1)(\cos\theta_2 X_2)]-E[\sin\theta_1 X_1]|}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}$$

$$+\frac{|E[(\sin\theta_1 X_1)(\cos\theta_2 X_2)]-E[\sin\theta_1 X_1]|}{|1-\lambda\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}$$

$$+|E[\sin\theta_1 X_1]|\frac{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)-\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)||\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|}$$

$$\times\left(\frac{1}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|}+\frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|}\right)$$

$$+|E[\sin\theta_1 X_1]|\frac{|1-\lambda\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)-\varphi_\lambda(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|}{|1-\lambda\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)||\varphi_\lambda(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|}$$

$$\times\left(\frac{1}{|1-\lambda\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|}+\frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|}\right)$$

$$\le \bar c_1\{|D|(|\theta_1|^{\hat\delta}+|\theta_2|^{\delta})+|\theta_1|^{\hat\delta}\theta_2^2\}\left(\frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}+\frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}\right), \tag{5.16}$$

where $\bar c_1$ is a constant independent of $\lambda\in(1/2,1)$ and $(\theta_1,\theta_2)\in[-\pi,\pi]\times[-\pi,\pi]$.

By performing the change of variables $\theta_2 = -\tilde{\theta}_2$ and using the symmetry of trigonometric functions,

$$\int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \frac{|\theta_2|^\gamma}{|\varphi_\lambda(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}\,d\theta_2 = \int_{-r_0/2}^{r_0/2} \frac{|\tilde{\theta}_2|^\gamma}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\tilde{\theta}_2)|^2}\,d\tilde{\theta}_2, \quad (\gamma \geq 0). \tag{5.17}$$

Taking note of (5.17), it follows from (5.16), Lemma 5.1 and (iv) in Lemma 5.2 that the first term on the right-hand side of the last equality in (5.15) is bounded by a constant multiple of $|\theta_1|^{\hat{\delta}}K^{-1/4} + |D|(|\theta_1|^{\hat{\delta}} + K^{\delta/4})K^{-3/4}$.

From conditions (b) and (c), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|E[(\cos\theta_1 X_1)(\sin\theta_2 X_2)] - E[(-1+\cos\theta_1 X_1)X_2]\theta_2| \\ &= |E[(\cos\theta_1 X_1)(\sin\theta_2 X_2)] - E[(\cos\theta_1 X_1)X_2]\theta_2| \leq E[|X_2|^{2+\delta}]|\theta_2|^{2+\delta} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2) - \phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)| \leq\ & 2|E[\sin\theta_1 X_1]| + 2|E[(\sin\theta_1 X_1)X_2]||\theta_2| \\ & +2E[|X_1|^{\hat{\delta}}X_2^2]|\theta_1|^{\hat{\delta}}\theta_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above two inequalities and (3.4), the same argument as given in (5.11) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_\lambda^{(2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)| \leq\ & \frac{|E[(\cos\theta_1 X_1)(\sin\theta_2 X_2)] - E[(-1+\cos\theta_1 X_1)X_2]\theta_2|}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)||1-\lambda\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|} \\ & \times|\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2) - \phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|\left(\frac{1}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|} + \frac{1}{|1-\lambda\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|}\right) \\ & +|E[(-1+\cos\theta_1 X_1)X_2]\theta_2|\frac{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)-\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)||\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|} \\ & \times\left(\frac{1}{|1-\lambda\phi(\theta_1,\theta_2)|} + \frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|}\right) \\ & +|E[(-1+\cos\theta_1 X_1)X_2]\theta_2|\frac{|1-\lambda\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)-\varphi_\lambda(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|}{|1-\lambda\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)||\varphi_\lambda(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|} \\ & \times\left(\frac{1}{|1-\lambda\phi(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|} + \frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|}\right) \\ \leq\ & \bar{c}_2\{|D||\theta_2|^\delta + (|A|+|C|)|\theta_2|^{1+\delta} + (|C||\theta_2| + |\theta_2|^2)|\theta_1|^{\hat{\delta}}\} \\ & \times\left(\frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2} + \frac{1}{|\varphi_\lambda(-\theta_1,\theta_2)|^2}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

where $\bar{c}_2$ is a constant independent of $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$ and $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in [-\pi, \pi] \times [-\pi, \pi]$. Recalling (5.17), it follows from (5.18), (i) in Lemma 5.1 and (iv) in Lemma 5.2 that the second term on the right-hand side of the last equality in (5.15) is bounded by a constant multiple of $\{|\theta_1|^{\hat{\delta}} + |A|K^{(-1+\delta)/4} + |C|(|\theta_1|^{\hat{\delta}} + K^{\delta/4})K^{-1/4} + |D|K^{(-2+\delta)/4}\}K^{-1/4}$. Hence we have the desired estimate.

References

[1] Bousquet-Mélou, M., Schaeffer, G.: Walks of the slit plane, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **124** (2002), 305-344.

[2] Fukai, Y.: Hitting time of a half-line by two-dimensional random walk, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **128** (2004), 323-346.

[3] Isozaki, Y.: Fluctuation identities applied to the hitting time of a half-line in the plane, *J.Theoret. Probab.* **22** no.1 (2009), 57-81.

[4] Kesten, H.: Hitting probabilities of random walks on Z^d, *Stoch.Proc. and Appl.* **25** (1987), 165-184.

[5] Lawler, G. F.: *Intersections of Random Walks*, Birkhäuser-Boston, 1991.

[6] Lawler, G.F.: A discrete analogue of a theorem of Makarov, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **2** (1993), 181-199.

[7] Spitzer, F.: *Principles of Random Walk*, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, 1976.